

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JONATHAN COLLIN AUTRY,  
11 Plaintiff,  
12 v.  
13 SACRAMENTO COUNTY, et al  
14 Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00554-TLN-JDP

## ORDER

16 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief  
17 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to  
18 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

19 On March 14, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein  
20 which were served on Plaintiff, and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any objections to the  
21 findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 41.) The time to  
22 file objections has passed, and Plaintiff did not file any objections.

23 The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602  
24 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed *de novo*.  
25 *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the  
26 magistrate judge are reviewed *de novo* by both the district court and [the appellate] court ....").  
27 Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by  
28 the record and by the proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations, filed on March 14, 2024 (ECF No. 41), are  
**ADOPTED IN FULL;**
  2. Plaintiff's claims against Defendant Susan are DISMISSED without prejudice;  
and
  3. This matter is referred back to the magistrate judge for further pre-trial  
proceedings.

Date: April 10, 2024

  
Troy L. Nunley  
United States District Judge